



Artificial Intelligence for COVID-19 Outbreak Screening

Marco Antonio Gutierrez

Informatics Division & Biomedical Informatics Laboratory Heart Institute, University of Sao Paulo Medical School São Paulo, BRAZIL





Artificial Intelligence (AI) A subfield of computer science

- AI is any machine that simulates aspect of learning or any other feature of human intelligence (John McCarthy, 1956);
- Al is the theory and development of computer systems able to perform tasks normally requiring human intelligence;
- Al is any system able to pass the Turing test.



- Field of study that gives computers the ability to learn without being explicitly programmed. (Arthur Samuel);
- Study of algorithms that improve their performance P at some task T with experience E as we have well defined task <P,T,E>. (Tom Mitchell).





- DL methods are ML methods based on learning data representations. They are usually related to the training of neural networks with many layers (>100);
- Fast advances during the last decade, basically related to the Big Data boom and cheap GPU hardware;
- First developed as an applied then as a theoretical field.



Al Subfields:





H. F. Atlam, R. J. Walters and G. B. Wills, "Intelligence of Things: Opportunities & Challenges," 2018 3rd Cloudification of the Internet of Things (CIOT), Paris, France, 2018, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/CIOT.2018.8627114.

Mathematical model for a neuron





Aeronautical Laboratory.



Behavior of multilayer neural networks



| Structure | Types of Decision Regions | Exclusive-OR Problem | Classes with Meshed regions | Most General Region Shapes |
|--------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Single-Layer | Half Plane Bounded By Hyper plane | ABBA | B | |
| Two-Layer | Convex Open Or Closed Regions | ABBA | B | |
| Three-Layer | Arbitrary (Complexity Limited by No. of Nodes) | ABBA | B | |

Abin – Roozgard, "Convolutional Neural Networks", lectures in Neural Networks.





Multi-layer perceptron and image processing

- One or more hidden layers
- Sigmoid activations functions







Drawbacks of previous neural networks

 The number of trainable parameters becomes extremely large







 Little or no invariance to shifting, scaling, and other forms of distortion





154 input change from 2 shift left77 : black to white77 : white to black





• Little or no invariance to shifting, scaling, and other forms of distortion







• the topology of the input data is completely ignored, especially working with raw data







• The increase in spatial resolution and levels intensity for each pixel results in an exponential increase in complexity.

Black and white patterns: $2^{32^*32} = 2^{1024}$ Gray scale patterns : $256^{32^*32} = 256^{1024}$



32 X 32 input image

Convolution Neural Networks (CNN)

- CNN are neurobiologically motivated by the findings of locally sensitive and orientationselective nerve cells in the visual cortex;
- A network structure that implicitly extracts relevant features;
- CNN are a special kind of multi-layer neural networks.

The mammalian visual cortex is hierarchical





Thorpe SJ, Fabre-Thorpe M (2001) Seeking categories in the brain. Science 291:260–263. doi:10.1126/science.1058249

Van Essen DC, Gallant JL (1994) Neural Mechanisms of Form and Motion Processing in the Primate Visual System. Neuron 1-10. doi: 10.1016/0896-6273(94)90455-3

Convolution Neural Networks (CNN)

- CNN is a feed-forward network that can extract topological properties from an image;
- Like almost every other neural networks they are trained with a version of the backpropagation algorithm;
- Convolutional Neural Networks are designed to recognize visual patterns directly from pixel images with minimal preprocessing;
- They can recognize patterns with extreme variability (such as handwritten characters).



Classification vs. CNN





Convolutional Neural Networks





CNN's Architecture



Feature maps



Feature extraction layer Convolution layer

Shift and distortion invariance or Subsampling layer



Feature extraction layer or Convolution layer



features

 It detects the same feature at different positions in the input image.







Feature extraction









- Shared weights: all neurons in a feature share the same weights;
- In this way all neurons detect the same feature at different positions in the input image;
- Reduce the number of free parameters.







Feature extraction

• If a neuron in the feature map fires, this corresponds to a match with the template.





Subsampling layer (pooling)



- the subsampling layers reduce the spatial resolution of each feature map
- By reducing the spatial resolution of the feature map, a certain degree of shift and distortion invariance is achieved.





Convolutional Neural Networks



• Weight sharing (convolution) + Subsampling (pooling)

- Reduce the # of parameters
- Translational invariance

Input pixel







Backpropagation algorithm and training



- 1. Input is modeled using real randomly selected weights;
- 2. Calculate the output for every neuron from the input layer, to the hidden layers, to the output layer;
- 3. Calculate the error in the output E_{rr}
- 4. Travel back from the output layer to the hidden layer to adjust the weights such that the error is decreased;
- 5. Keep repeating the process until the desired output is achieved.





Example: LeNet5



- LeNet 5 Archtecture
 - raw image of 32×32 pixels as input
 - C1,C3,C5 : Convolutional layer.
 - 5 × 5 Convolution matrix.
 - S2, S4 : Subsampling layer.
 - Subsampling by factor 2.

- F6:Fully connected layer (sigmoid activation function).



LeCun, Y.; Boser, B.; Denker, J. S.; Henderson, D.; Howard, R. E.; Hubbard, W. & Jackel, L. D. (1989). Backpropagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition. Neural Computation, 1(4):541-551.



Example: LeNet5





- ~187,000 connections.
- ~14,000 trainable weight.



http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/lenet



Example: LeNet5













Deep Learning = Learning Hierarchical Representations

 It's deep if it has more than one layer of non-linear feature transformation.



- Image recognition: pixel-> edge -> part -> object
- Text: char -> word -> word group -> clause -> sentence -> story
- Speech: sample -> spectral band -> sound -> ...-> phone -> phoneme -> word ->



Deep Learning based NLP







AI in Healthcare



- Al is a powerful tool and a partner
 - Man + Machine = enhanced human capabilities (AMA, 2018)
- Al can help human
 - Unlock the power of big data and gain insight into patients;
 - Support evidence-based decision making, improving quality, safety, and efficiency;
 - Coordinate care and foster communication;
 - Improve patient experience and outcomes;
 - Deliver value and reduce costs;
 - Improve health system performance & optimization.



Human-machine Partnership in Healthcare



Machine

Al-powered automation

Improving effectiveness

• Quality

Man

- Experience
- Outcomes
- Safety
 - Ways to ensure
 - Patient safety
- Efficiency
 - Usability
 - Productivity
- Access to care
- Controlling costs

AI-powered automation

- Machine learning
 - Supervised learning
 - Unsupervised learning
 - Reinforcement learning
- Natural language processing
 - Statistical vs. rule-based NLP
- Al voice technology
 - Clinical voice documentation
 - Voice nursing assistants
- Medical robotics



Supervised Learning



- Most AI successes in healthcare are achieved through supervised learning;
- Identifying the underlying structure/patterns of data and trying to map input variables into discrete categories;
- Data-driven clinical decision support:
 - Diagnostic analysis using medical imaging (e.g., CT-scan, Xrays, MRI procedures);
 - Making medical diagnosis based on the symptoms;
 - Designing and selecting treatments based on biomarkers or other attributes;
 - Identifying at-risk groups based on health-related factors and social determinants.



Supervised Learning: Classification problem



Identifying different types of diseases

CheXpert: A large dataset of chest Xrays and competition for automated chest x-ray interpretation (Launched in 2019 by Stanford university)



CheX

224,316 chest radiographs of 65,240 patients



https://stanfordmlgroup.github.io/competitions/chexpert/

Al to distinguish COVID in CT Exams



- To extract visual features from chest CT exams for the detection of: COVID-19, Community acquired pneumonia (CAP) and other non-pneumonia;
- 4,356 CT Exams, based on Resnet50, training set 90%, test 10%



Lin Li at al. Artificial Intelligence Distinguishes COVID-19 from Community Acquired Pneumonia on Chest CT. Radiology, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200905

Al to distinguish COVID in Chest X-Ray Exams Chest X-Ray Chest CT



Chest CT is the gold standard image modality for detect COVID-19

Motivation: Chest CT is not available in some health centers in comparison with X-Ray, especially in low income countries.

Al to distinguish COVID in Chest X-Ray Exams





(c)



Ferreira Junior, JR et al. Radiographic biomarkers for COVID-19: A pilot radiomic study. Pre-print.

I to distinguish COVID in Chest X-Ray Exams



Discovery Datasets

- 29 patients with COVID-19 provided by the Italian Society of Medical and Interventional Radiology
- 127 cases of pneumonia non-related to COVID-19 from the Spanish chest XR cohort (PadChest dataset)
- 39 patients with pneumonia non-related to COVID-19 from the National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health (OpenI dataset)

Validation

- 32 patients from around the world. In this set, images and clinical data were initially collected from the literature by researchers of the University of Montreal
- Then it was completed with follow-up data (survival time, imaging and event dates) by us to perform the radiomic analysis
- From those 32 patients, 20 had the diagnosis confirmed for COVID-19 by RT-PCR, and 12 from a different etiology





Ferreira Junior, JR et al. Radiographic biomarkers for COVID-19: A pilot radiomic study. Pre-print.

IIII AI to distinguish COVID in Chest X-Ray Exams







Top features ROC curve



Ferreira Junior, JR et al. Radiographic biomarkers for COVID-19: A pilot radiomic study. Pre-print.





Summary of AI methods for COVID-19 diagnosis using radiology images

| Ref. | DATA | Al Method | Performance |
|------|--|--|---|
| [6] | 4,356 chest CT exams, 3,322 patients from 6 medical centers: 1,296 exams for COVID-19, 1,735 for CAP and 1,325 for non-pneumonia | A 3D convolutional ResNet-50 (COVnet) | AUC 0.96 for detecting COVID-19 |
| [7] | 970 CT volumes of 496 patients with confirmed COVID-19 and 1,385 negative cases | 2D deep CNN | ACC 94.98% and AUC 97.91% |
| [8] | 1,341 normal, 1,345 viral pneumonia, and 190 COVID-19 chest X-ray images | AlexNet [18], ResNet- 18 [3], DenseNet-201 [19], SqueezeNet [20] | ACC 98.3% |
| [9] | 5,941 Posterior-anterior chest radiography images across 4 classes (normal: 1,583, bacterial pneumonia: 2,786, non- COVID-19 viral pneumonia: 1,504, and COVID-19: 68) | Drop-weights based Bayesian CNNs | ACC of 89.92% |
| [10] | 1,065 CT images (325 COVID-19 and 740 viral pneumonia) | Modified INCEPTION transfer-learning model | Sensitivity 0,67, Specificity 0.83, and ACC 79.3% |
| [11] | 16,756 chest radiography images across 13,645 patient cases from two open access data repositories | A deep CNN, namely COVID-Net | ACC 92.4% |
| [12] | CT images of 1,136 training cases (723 positives for COVID-19) from 5 hospitals | A combination of 3D UNet++ and ResNet-50 | Sensitivity 0.97 and specificity 0.922 |





Predicting results within a continuous output

- Predicting inpatient mortality and long length of stay based on EHR Big Data
- Predicting the number of ER patients during a specific period, needed staff and beds based on past data
- Predicting patients' survival rates based on health conditions, age, and other characteristics



AI hybrid model to predict COVID-19



 Combination of Improve Susceptible–Infected (ISI) model, Natural Language Processing (NLP) module and the Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network are embedded to predict COVID-19



Zheng N., et al. Predicting COVID-19 using hybrid AI model. IEEE Trans Cybern. 2020 May 8. doi: 10.1109/TCYB.2020.2990162



Risk estimation for COVID from metaanalysis of symptoms and conditions

 Descriptive statistics of population groups from Singapore ILI study (H1N1, Seasonal Influenza, Influenza-) and Shanghai meta-analysis of patients with COVID-19 (30 retrospective obs. studies) (COVID-19 severe and non-severe)

| Variable | A(H1N1/2009) (n=547) | Seasonal Influenza (n=193) | Influenza Negative (n=1943) | P Value |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Age, y | | | | |
| 0-5 | 7.9 | 25.9 | 10.9 7 | |
| 6-18 | 41.5 | 15.5 | 14.9 | |
| 19-35 | 35.1 | 21.2 | 38.6 | <.001 |
| 36-50 | 9.1 | 14.5 | 16.9 | |
| ≥51 | 6.4 | 22.8 | 18.7 | |
| Male sex | 57.4 | 60.6 | 54.7 | .19 |
| Fever | 79.3 | 88.1 | 52.4 | <.001 |
| Cough | 88.1 | 81.3 | 60.7 | <.001 |
| Sore throat | 53.7 | 37.3 | 37.0 | <.001 |
| Rhinorrhea | 49.9 | 53.9 | 37.0 | <.001 |
| Myalgia | 20.3 | 15.0 | 14.6 | .005 |
| Headache | 20.8 | 10.9 | 13.2 | <.001 |
| Dyspnea | 0.5 | 6.7 | 2.1 | <.001 |
| Vomiting | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.7 | .34 |
| Diarrhea | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | .03 |

Prevalence of symptoms in *Pandemic(H1N1/2009), Seasonal Influenza* and *Influenza Negative* groups. Reproduced from [1]

| | | Severity | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|--|
| | Overall | Severe | Non-severe | P value | |
| CFR, % (95%CI) | 3.1 (1.9-4.2) | 6.0 (4.6-7.3) | 0.1 (0-0.2) | <0.001 | |
| Fever | 79.1 (68.0-90.3) | 88.4 (73.1-100.0) | 81.4 (62.6-100.0) | 0.552 | |
| Cough | 58.0 (42.0-74.0) | 71.1 (59.6-82.7) | 65.7 (57.8-73.5) | 0.449 | |
| Fatigue | 29.3 (23.4-35.3) | 60.3 (39.9-80.8) | 44.2 (32.6-55.9) | 0.181 | |
| Expectoration | 11.5 (9.2-13.9) | 37.6 (22.7-52.6) | 28.0 (19.0-37.0) | 0.281 | |
| Headache | 6.0 (4.0-7.9) | 11.3 (7.4-15.2) | 13.5 (7.6-19.4) | 0.542 | |
| Diarrhea | 5.7 (3.9-7.5) | 5.7 (3.1-8.4) | 5.8 (3.3-8.3) | 0.957 | |
| Myalgia | 3.8 (2.4-5.2) | 26.0 (11.1-40.9) | 13.1 (8.4-17.8) | 0.107 | |
| Shortness of breath | 3.5 (2.2-4.9) | 35.7 (17.8-53.7) | 12.8 (4.7-20.9) | 0.023 | |
| Sore throat/Pharyngalgia | 3.2 (1.7-4.6) | 7.8 (0-16.1) | 9.7 (4.0-15.4) | 0.706 | |
| Nausea or vomiting | 2.0 (1.0-2.9) | 5.9 (3.1-8.7) | 5.7 (2.3-9.0) | 0.928 | |
| Chill | 1.1 (0.3-1.9) | 26.0 (8.9-43.1) | 10.9 (9.0-12.9) | 0.087 | |
| Nasal congestion/Rhinorrhoea | 1.1 (0.3-1.9) | 2.8 (0.4-5.2) | 5.1 (3.8-6.3) | 0.097 | |
| Dyspnea | 1.0 (0.3-1.7) | 44.2 (7.8-80.6) | 5.7 (0-10.7) | 0.042 | |
| Anorexia | 1.0 (0.4-1.7) | 14.9 (8.3-21.5) | 8.2 (2.4-13.9) | 0.135 | |
| Dizziness | 0.1 (0.0-0.5) | 16.1 (3.5-28.8) | 12.1 (5.0-19.2) | 0.591 | |
| Any comorbidity, % (95% CI) | 37.1 (28.1-46.1) | 54.9 (37.2-72.6) | 27.6 (19.4-35.8) | 0.006 | |
| Specific comorbidity, % (95% CI) | | | | | |
| Hypertension | 19.0 (13.2-24.9) | 29.4 (24.8-34.1) | 16.1 (11.5-20.7) | < 0.001 | |
| Diabetes | 8.2 (6.3-10.0) | 17.2 (13.4-20.9) | 5.8 (3.4-8.1) | < 0.001 | |
| CVD | 2.7 (1.4-4.1) | 6.9 (3.9-9.8) | 2.1 (1.4-2.9) | 0.002 | |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 1.0 (0.3-1.6) | 3.0 (1.2-4.9) | 0.9 (0.4-1.3) | 0.031 | |
| COPD | 0.6 (0.3-0.9) | 4.1 (1.8-6.3) | 0.7 (0.2-1.1) | 0.004 | |

Fatality Rates and Prevalence of symptoms and conditions in *Severe* and *Non-severe* COVID patients. Excerpt from [2]

- 1. Tang J. et al. Differing Symptom Patterns in Early Pandemic vs Seasonal Influenza Infections. Arch Intern Med 170(10):861-867. 2010 May 24. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2010.108
- 2. Ma C. et al. Incidence, clinical characteristics and prognostic factor of patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. medRxiv preprint. 2020 March 20. doi:10.1101/2020.03.17.20037572





Risk estimation for COVID from metaanalysis of symptoms and conditions



- ReGEN: ReGenerative Estimator Neural Network
 - synthesizer + estimator neural network, inspired by GANs (Goodfellow et al 2014)



COVIDuc (COVID Under Control Score)





DATA, SETOR





s em cada faixa de risco?



Risk if COVIDuc > 3,0 !



COVID time series propagation



test

valid

stacked I STM

train

- Number of cases in each city
- Augmented with flight map (STGCN)







- Deep learning has produced remarkable results for complex real-world problems that involve big data;
- It has the potential to provide data-driven, evidence-based clinical intelligence for advancing both biomedical research and service delivery;
- A new paradigm to derive insights on biological, diagnostic and therapeutic processes and behaviors from data;
- Accelerate the process of digesting and interpreting vast quantities of complex and diverse information.





Limitations of AI for healthcare

- Al is not an all-purpose solution
 - For tasks that require common-sense solutions or domain-specific expertise, and situations that are outside of the AI training dataset, it is less applicable.

AI Weakness

- AI identifies superficial features and patterns, but lacks the understanding of meanings and concepts;
- Lacks common sense reasoning, general intelligence, and domain knowledge integration;
- Needs big data, machine learning models are as good as the training data (biases, noises, errors often exist in real-world data);
- Difficulty to generalize the findings beyond its training dataset.

Limitations of AI for COVID-19 outbreak screening

- Al technologies have penetrated into our daily lives with many successes, they have also contributed to helping humans in the extremely tough fight against COVID-19;
- Al Apps range from medical image diagnosis (Chest Rx & CT), virus transmission modelling and forecasting based on number of cases time series data, text mining and NLP;
- Although various studies have been published, we observe that there are still relatively limited applications and contributions of AI in this battle;
- This is partly due to the limited availability of annoteted data about COVID-19 and AI methods normally require large amounts of data for computational models to learn and acquire knowledge.



References



- 1. H. F. Atlam, R. J. Walters and G. B. Wills, "Intelligence of Things: Opportunities & Challenges," 2018 3rd Cloudification of the Internet of Things (CIoT), Paris, France, 2018, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/CIOT.2018.8627114;
- 2. Abin Roozgard, "Convolutional Neural Networks", lectures in Neural Networks;
- 3. Thorpe SJ, Fabre-Thorpe M (2001) Seeking categories in the brain. Science 291:260–263. doi:10.1126/science.1058249;
- 4. Van Essen DC, Gallant JL (1994) Neural Mechanisms of Form and Motion Processing in the Primate Visual System. Neuron 1-10. doi: 10.1016/0896-6273(94)90455-3;
- 5. LeCun, Y.; Boser, B.; Denker, J. S.; Henderson, D.; Howard, R. E.; Hubbard, W. & Jackel, L. D. (1989). Backpropagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition. Neural Computation, 1(4):541-551.
- 6. Lin Li at al. Artificial Intelligence Distinguishes COVID-19 from Community Acquired Pneumonia on Chest CT. Radiology, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200905
- 7. Jin, C., Chen, W., Cao, Y., Xu, Z., Zhang, X., Deng, L., ... and Feng, J. (2020). Development and evaluation of an Al system;
- 8. Chowdhury, M. E., Rahman, T., Khandakar, A., Mazhar, R., Kadir, M. A., Mahbub, Z. B. and Reaz, M. B. I. (2020). Can AI help in screening viral and COVID-19 pneumonia?. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.13145.
- 9. Ghoshal, B., and Tucker, A. (2020). Estimating uncertainty and interpretability in deep learning for coronavirus (COVID-19) detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.10769;
- 10. Wang, S., Kang, B., Ma, J., Zeng, X., Xiao, M., Guo, J., ... and Xu, B. (2020). A deep learning algorithm using CT images to screen for corona virus disease (COVID-19). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.14.20023028;
- 11. Wang, L., and Wong, A. (2020). COVID-Net: A tailored deep convolutional neural network design for detection of COVID-19 cases from chest radiography images. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.09871 for COVID-19. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.20.20039834.
- 12. Jin, S., Wang, B., Xu, H., Luo, C., Wei, L., Zhao, W., ... and Sun, W. (2020). AI-assisted CT imaging analysis for COVID-19 screening: Building and deploying a medical AI system in four weeks. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.19.20089854;

Thank you!

Email: marco.gutierrez@incor.usp.br